



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

HD

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,433	12/30/2003	Michael R. Clark	31419.23990	1979
26781	7590	10/16/2007	EXAMINER	
BROUSE MCDOWELL LPA 388 SOUTH MAIN STREET SUITE 500 AKRON, OH 44311			LUONG, VINH	
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3682				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
10/16/2007		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

iplaw@brouse.com

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/750,433	CLARK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Vinh T. Luong	3682

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Vinh T. Luong. (3) _____

(2) Heather M. Barnes. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 10 October 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 18.

Identification of prior art discussed: Chang.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant inquired about the final rejection on September 4, 2007. The Examiner explained that the term "weight" is a broad term and since Chang's spring 864 must have an amount of mass or weight, thus, it reads on the term "weight" in the claim. The Examiner respectfully suggested to amend Claim 18 to claim, e.g., the first, second, third, and fourth weights being solid cylindrical rods in order to patentably distinguish over Chang.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Vinh T. Luong
Primary Examiner



Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.